

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY


(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

540990
REC'D 13 SEP 2005

WIPO

PCT

Applicant's or agent's file reference ***		FOR FURTHER ACTION		See Form PCT/PEA/416
International application No. PCT/IB2004/001035		International filing date (day/month/year) 05.04.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 11.04.2003	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC B32B27/28, B32B33/00, B32B23/08, C08L101/00				
Applicant CSIR				
<p>1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p>3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:</p> <p>a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau a total of 4 sheets, as follows:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.</p> <p>b. <input type="checkbox"/> (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).</p>				
<p>4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I Basis of the opinion</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. II Priority</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI Certain documents cited</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application</p>				
Date of submission of the demand 09.11.2004		Date of completion of this report 09.09.2005		
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016		Authorized Officer Derz, T Telephone No. +31 70 340-3159		



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/IB2004/001035

Box No. I Basis of the report

1. With regard to the **language**, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
- ☐ This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
- ☐ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
 - ☐ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)
 - ☐ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2. With regard to the **elements*** of the international application, this report is based on *(replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report)*:

Description, Pages

2-6, 8-15	as originally filed
1, 7	filed with telefax on 21.01.2005

Claims, Numbers

2-16, 18-36	as originally filed
1, 17	filed with telefax on 21.01.2005

- ☐ a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
3. ☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
- ☐ the description, pages
 - ☐ the claims, Nos.
 - ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs
 - ☐ the sequence listing (*specify*):
 - ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (*specify*):
4. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
- ☐ the description, pages
 - ☐ the claims, Nos.
 - ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs
 - ☐ the sequence listing (*specify*):
 - ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (*specify*):
- * If item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY**

International application No.
PCT/IB2004/001035

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention

1. ☐ In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees, the applicant has:
- ☐ restricted the claims.
 - ☐ paid additional fees.
 - ☐ paid additional fees under protest.
 - ☐ neither restricted nor paid additional fees.
2. ☐ This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.
3. ☐ This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
- ☐ complied with.
 - ☒ not complied with for the following reasons:
see separate sheet
4. Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
- ☒ all parts.
 - ☐ the parts relating to claims Nos. .

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement
- | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------|
| Novelty (N) | Yes: Claims | 1-36 |
| | No: Claims | |
| Inventive step (IS) | Yes: Claims | 1-36 |
| | No: Claims | |
| Industrial applicability (IA) | Yes: Claims | 1-36 |
| | No: Claims | |
2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):
- see separate sheet**

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/001035

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The following documents referred to in this section are:

- D1: US-A-3 997 703 (NAKASHIO SEIZO ET AL) 14 December 1976
- D2: EP-A-1 082 215 (TETRA LAVAL HOLDINGS & FINANCE) 14 March 2001
- D3: US-A-5 106 890 (MARUHASHI MOTOKAZU ET AL) 21 April 1992
- D4: US-A-4 553 973 (EDGREN DAVID) 19 November 1985 (1985-11-19)

1) Novelty

The present application does satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33(2) PCT because the subject-matter of Claims **1-36** is novel in respect of prior art as defined in the regulations (Rule 64(1)-(3) PCT).

Independent claim 1 defines a packaging material comprising barrier coated *polymer bases*, the barrier layer comprising two blended compatible polymers both being polar and water soluble. The two blended compatible polymers should form an interpenetrating polymer network (IPN) by **interpolymer complexation** (e.g. hydrogen bonding).

The **common concept** of the present application is the **problem** of providing water-soluble barrier coatings in packagings **plus its solution**, including the teaching that packaging material barrier coatings can comprise two blended, polar, water-soluble, compatible polymers (IPN).

This is the **common concept** of process claim 17 and all claims 1-36 together.

The technical feature "*polar*" (polymer) is a **redundancy in determination**, resp. an inherently necessary feature for the technical feature water solubility and not an independent and additional feature. All water soluble polymers are polar.

Not all the blendable polymers listed in claims 12 resp. 28 will form an interpenetrating polymer network (w.r.t C-IV 7.5).

The one and only example of the application discloses: 7% PVOH + 3% MVE-MAH (methyl vinyl ether - maleic acid copolymer) + 90% water (claims 13, 29).

1. polymer layer
2. (gas)barrier layer: IPN of two polar and water soluble polymers

The closest prior art has been identified as document D1 and discloses:

The **common concept is novel** in view of **D1** which discloses moulded plastic laminate articles (cf. D1, cl. 6: films, sheets, bottles, containers) which contain at least one barrier blend layer of pullulan (1,4'- ; -1,6'-Glucan; MW = usually 50,000-1.000,000) blended with PVOH in an aqueous solution coated on at least one layer of a corona-treated thermoplastic polymer (PET, PE, PP, PA etc.) which are used for packing material, e.g. for food. Pullulan has a very low gas-permeability. Two-layered molded plastics where the pullulan blend is on the *outside* and three-layered ones (ex. 4 w.r.t. pres. cl. 4-5, res. 20-21) where it is on the inside are disclosed. D1 does not disclose which of the surfaces of the eventual bottle will result in being the coated one. The polymers can be selected from PET, PBT, PC, PAN, PVC, PE, PP and many others. From the list of possible polymers given in present claims 11, 27 and the description page 2, par. 2 only the polymers PETG, PS, PEN, PMP and PB are not disclosed in D1. **D1 is silent whether the polymer blends will form an IPN** and the list of blendable polymers (D1, cl. 8; col. 3, lines 50-68) comprises as well proton donors (e.g. ...acrylic acid) as proton acceptors (e.g. N-vinyl pyrrolidone).

Therewith the **teaching of IPNs as barrier layers is absent from D1** - which therewith forms the technically distinguishing feature.

Therewith the subject-matter of claims 1-36 is novel over D1.

The **common concept is novel** over **D3** (claims 1+6, ex. 1, col. 6, lines 2-3, 20 and col. 2, lines 40-60) which discloses a water-soluble PVOH-starch blend coated onto and/or by a hydrophobic resin (PET) and is **silent too whether the polymer blends will form an IPN**.

The subject-matter of claims 1-36 is also novel over prior art **D2** which discloses (D2, claims 2-4, 9) starch based gas barriers in packaging laminates for use as packaging containers. The gas barrier layer can be an **aqueous polymer dispersion** of starch or starch derivative + some **PVOH** or **EAA** which provides an **oxygen gas barrier** property of

50 cm³/m² at 24 h, 1 atm or better, having a dry coating of not more than 7 g/m² deposited on a plastic layer. D2 is silent about IPNs.

D4 discloses (cl. 1,) a controlled-release drug delivery device, comprising a drug core coated with a cured mixt. of ethyl cellulose, polyhydroxy polymer + carboxyethyl cellulose (EC), a polyhydroxy polymer (I) (hydroxypropyl cellulose (HPC), hydroxypropyl methylcellulose, hydroxyethyl cellulose) and a carboxy polymer (II = carboxypolymethylene (CPM) = copolymer of methyl vinyl ether and maleic anhydride (MVE-g-MAH) or acrylics. Although these polymer blends could form IPNs, D4 is silent about it and the blends are employed as a semipermeable ("osmotic") barrier for the drug. Therewith the subject-matter of claim 1 is novel over D4.

2) Inventive Step

2.1 The present application does satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33(3) PCT because the subject-matter of independent Claims 1 and 17 does involve an inventive step (Rule 65(1)(2) PCT), because in view of the retrieved prior art it was not obvious, to employ IPNs as barriers for gas (vapour, liquid).

The difference of the subject-matter of independent claim 1 of the present application with respect to the teaching of D1 is that IPNs are employed as gas barrier.

The effect of this difference results in a highly reduced O₂-transmission rate (comp. pres. appl., tables 1-2 on page 13-14 vs. D1, ex. 1-4, tables 1-2). Even if the results might not be directly comparable (the application refers to "cc/bottle/Day, whereas D1 refers to cc/m².day.atm⁻¹) it might be an improvement by a factor 100.

The problem to be solved is therefore to provide a laminate with a good barrier to gases (vapors, liquids) and is solved by packagings having a barrier with the characteristics stipulated in claim 1 of the pres. invention (IPNs of 2 water-soluble polymers).

This problem has not been solved nor suggested or indicated in any document cited in the search report.

2.2) Clarity of the Claims and Support in the Description - Articles 5 & 6 PCT

Not all possible blends listed in claims 12 and 28 will result in IPNs - far from it.

The description p. 6, l. 12-19 seems to allow for a huge range of 1:1000 to 1000:1 ratios even for the PVOH + PMVE-MAA blend. Not all of these will result in IPNs either. Viscosity and molar masses might be important criteria for the stability of the IPN's too.

However, it is easy for a skilled person, **without undue experimentation**, to determine whether you *have* an IPN, hence there is no undue burden. The inventive idea was to employ IPNs as (better) gas barriers (due to their higher densities and other properties on microscopic level).

Hence the **breadth of the present claims** seems to be allowable under PCT/GL/ISPE/1 5.43 - 5.48 and 5.52.

Claims 2-16 and 18-38 are dependent on claims 1 and 17 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

3) To meet the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the documents D1 to D4 should be identified in the description and the relevant background art disclosed therein should be briefly discussed.

PACKAGING

This invention relates to the packaging of goods or substances in packages or containers, in situations where migration of gases, vapours or liquids into or out of the interiors of the packages or containers is undesirable. More particularly,
5 the invention relates to a packaging material suitable for use as a package or container in such packaging of goods, and to a process for producing such packaging material.

According to the invention there is provided a packaging material which comprises:

- 10 a polymeric base component; and
a barrier component which coats and lines a surface of the base component, the barrier component inhibiting migration of gases, vapours and liquids through the base component, and the barrier component comprising a polymeric layer which coats and lines the surface of the base component, the polymeric layer comprising at
15 least two different polymeric species which are polar and which are water soluble, the different species having different chemical compositions and being bound together physically to form an interpenetrating physical network which provides the barrier component, the different chemical species being complementary in that the physical bonding together thereof is by interpolymer complexation.

20

It will be appreciated that, in the packaging to which the invention relates, the packaging material will typically be used to form packages or containers for holding or containing goods or substances to be packaged in the interiors thereof, the

Further according to the invention there is provided a process for producing a packaging material which comprises a polymeric base component and a barrier component which coats and lines a surface of the base component, the barrier component inhibiting migration of gases, vapours and liquids through the base component, the process comprising the step of coating at least one surface of the base component with a barrier component in the form of a polymeric layer which comprises at least two polymeric species which are polar and water soluble, and have different chemical compositions, the layer lining the base component and the coating step causing the polymeric species to form an interpenetrating physical network which provides the barrier component, the polymeric species being complementary in that they form the interpenetrating network by interacting together physically by interpolymer complexation.

The process may include the step of shaping the base component into a package or container. The coating step may thus take place after the step of shaping the base component into a package or container. The coating step may take place on an inner surface of the package or container. Instead, or in addition, the coating step may take place on an outer surface of the container.

The coating step may comprise physically adhering the barrier component to the base component by electrostatic bonding. Instead or in addition, the coating step may comprise chemically adhering the barrier component to the base component by covalent bonding.

CLAIMS:

1. A packaging material which comprises:

a polymeric base component; and

5 a barrier component which coats and lines a surface of the base component,

the barrier component inhibiting migration of gases, vapours and liquids through the base component, and the barrier component comprising a polymeric layer which coats and lines the surface of the base component, the polymeric layer comprising at

10 least two different polymeric species which are polar and which are water soluble, the different species having different chemical compositions and being bound together physically to form an interpenetrating physical network which provides the barrier component, the barrier component being characterised in that

15 the different chemical species are complementary in that the physical bonding together thereof is by interpolymer complexation.

2. A packaging material as claimed in Claim 1, characterised in that it is in the form of a package or container.

20

3. A packaging material as claimed in Claim 2, characterised in that the package or container is selected from the group consisting of capsules, blister packages, sachets, envelopes, jerry cans, bottles and jars.

base component, the barrier component inhibiting migration of gases, vapours and liquids through the base component, the process comprising the step of coating at least one surface of the base component with a barrier component in the form of a polymeric layer which comprises at least two polymeric species which are polar and water soluble, and have different chemical compositions, the layer lining the base component and the coating step causing the polymeric species to form an interpenetrating physical network which provides the barrier component, the process being characterised in that the polymeric species are complementary in that they form the interpenetrating physical network by interacting together physically by interpolymer complexation.

18. A process as claimed in Claim 17, characterised in that it includes the step of shaping the base component into a package or container.

19. A process as claimed in Claim 18, characterised in that the coating step takes place after the step of shaping the base component into a package or container.

20. A process as claimed in Claim 18 or Claim 19, characterised in that the coating step takes place on an inner surface of the package or container.

21. A process as claimed in any one of Claims 18 – 20 inclusive, characterised in that the coating takes place on an outer surface of the container.